

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/625,695		07/24/2003	Kazuhiro Nakajima	8053-1016	8053-1016 9942	
466	7590	11/22/2006	,	EXAM	EXAMINER	
YOUNG	& THOM	PSON	NADAV, ORI			
745 SOUT 2ND FLO	'H 23RD S' OR	TREET		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	ARLINGTON, VA 22202			2811		
				DATE MAILED: 11/22/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/625,695	NAKAJIMA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ori Nadav	2811		

	Ori Nadav	2811						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{6}$ months from the mailing date of		•						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)) .							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months are particles of ARREAL.	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☑ The Notice of Appeal was filed on 03 November 2006. A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl)), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the					
B. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			because					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		TE Below),	•					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) \square They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). I. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL_324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		omphant Amendment	(I TOL-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendm	ent canceling					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-8,24 and 26-30. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	0.	1					
3. Other:		// Non	1					
		ORI NADAV PRIMARY EXAMIN	ER					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that in column 1, lines 20-25, Sheu teaches that test structures are formed alongside the chips and not on the first wiring layer, as recited. This argument is not convincing, because forming test structures alongside the chips does not mean that the probe of the test structure is

not formed on the first wiring layer.